1	FRANCIS O. SCARPULLA (41059) CRAIG C. CORBITT (83251)		
2	MATTHEW R. SCHULTZ (220641) QIANWEI FU (242669)		
3	ZELLE HOFMANN VOELBEL MASON & G 44 Montgomery Street, Suite 3400 San Francisco, CA 94104	JETTE, LLP	
5	Telephone: 415-639-0700 Facsimile: 415-693-0770		
6	ccorbitt@zelle.com		
7	JOSEPH M. ALIOTO (42680) THERESA D. MOORE (99978) ANGELINA ALIOTO-GRACE (206899)		
8	JOSEPH M. ALIOTO, JR. (215554) ALIOTO LAW FIRM		
9	555 California Street, 31st Floor San Francisco, CA 94104		
10	Telephone: 415-434-8900 Facsimile: 415-434-9200		
11	jaliotojr@aliotolaw.com		
12	JACK W. LEE, Esq. [SBN 71626] BRAD YAMAUCHI, Esq. [SBN 73245]		
13	B. MARK FONG, Esq. [SBN 99672] HUGO A. ZIA, Esq. [SBN 122599]		
14	MINAMI TAMAKI LLP 360 Post Street, 8th Floor		
15	San Francisco, California 94108-4903 Telephone: (415) 788-9000		
16	Facsimile: (415) 398-3887 jlee@MinamiTamaki.com		
17	Interim Co-Lead and Liaison Counsel for Indir	waat Dumahaaan Dlaintiffa	
18	Interim Co-Lead and Liaison Counsel for main	rect Purchaser Planiums	
19	LINITED STATE	ES DISTRICT COURT	
20	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
21	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
22	IN RE TFT-LCD (FLAT PANEL)		
23	ANTITRUST LITIGATION) Case No. 06-07588 SI	
24) Master File No. C07-1827 SI	
25	This Document Relates to:) MDL No. 1827	
2627	JUDD ELIASOPH, on behalf of himself and al others similarly situated,	11)))	
28	DECLIECT EOD INTERNATIONAL HID		
	REQUEST FOR INTERNATIONAL JUD	JICIAL ASSISTANCE (LETTER RUUATURT)	

1	Plaintiff,	REQUEST FOR INTERNATIONAL
2	v.)) JUDICIAL ASSISTANCE
3 4	LG PHILIPS LCD CO., LTD.; LG PHILIPS LCD AMERICA, INC.; SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO. LTD.; SHARP	
5	CORPORATION; SHARP ELECTRONICS CORPORATION; TOSHIBA	(LETTER ROGATORY)
6	CORPORATION; TOSHIBA MATSUSHITA DISPLAY TECHNOLOGY CO., LTD.; HITACHI LTD.; HITACHI DISPLAYS, LTD.;))
7	HITACHI AMERICA LTD.; HITACHI ELECTRONIC DEVICES (USA), INC.;)))
8	EPSON IMAGING DEVICES CORPORATION; NEC	
9 10	ELECTRONICS CORPORATION; NEC LCD TECHNOLOGIES, LTD; NEC ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC.; IDT))
11	INTERNATIONAL LTD.; AU OPTRONICS; AU OPTRONICS CORPORATION	,))
12	AMERICA; CMO JAPAN CO., LTD.; CHI MEI OPTOELECTRONICS; CHI MEI	
13	OPTOELECTRONICS USA, INC.; CHUNGHWA PICTURE TUBES LTD; and HANNSTAR DISPLAY CORPORATION,)))
14	, ')
	Defendants.	
15	Defendants.	
15 16		n District of California presents its compliments to
15 16 17		1
15 16 17 18	The United States District Court Northern the Appropriate Judicial Authority of Taiwan, and	1
15 16 17 18	The United States District Court Northern the Appropriate Judicial Authority of Taiwan, and	d requests international assistance to effect
15 16 17 18	The United States District Court Northern the Appropriate Judicial Authority of Taiwan, an service of process to be used in a civil proceeding. I. REQUEST	d requests international assistance to effect
15 16 17 18 19 20	The United States District Court Northern the Appropriate Judicial Authority of Taiwan, an service of process to be used in a civil proceeding. I. REQUEST	d requests international assistance to effect g before this Court in the above captioned matter. bed herein as necessary in the interests of justice.
115 116 117 118 119 120 121 121 131	The United States District Court Northern the Appropriate Judicial Authority of Taiwan, an service of process to be used in a civil proceeding. I. REQUEST This Court requests the assistance describe The assistance requested is that the Appropriate is	d requests international assistance to effect g before this Court in the above captioned matter. bed herein as necessary in the interests of justice.
115 116 117 118 119 120 121 122 122 131	The United States District Court Northern the Appropriate Judicial Authority of Taiwan, an service of process to be used in a civil proceeding. I. REQUEST This Court requests the assistance describe The assistance requested is that the Appropriate is	d requests international assistance to effect g before this Court in the above captioned matter. bed herein as necessary in the interests of justice. Judicial Authority of Taiwan effect Service of Amended Class Action Complaint; Pretrial Order
115 116 117 118 119 120 121 122 123 131 141 151	The United States District Court Northern the Appropriate Judicial Authority of Taiwan, an service of process to be used in a civil proceeding. I. REQUEST This Court requests the assistance described The assistance requested is that the Appropriate of Process of: Amended Summons in a Civil Case; No. 5: Case Management; Judge Illston's Standing.	d requests international assistance to effect g before this Court in the above captioned matter. bed herein as necessary in the interests of justice. Judicial Authority of Taiwan effect Service of Amended Class Action Complaint; Pretrial Order
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	The United States District Court Northern the Appropriate Judicial Authority of Taiwan, an service of process to be used in a civil proceeding. I. REQUEST This Court requests the assistance described The assistance requested is that the Appropriate Process of: Amended Summons in a Civil Case; No. 5: Case Management; Judge Illston's Standing for Electronic Filing; Case Management Conference.	d requests international assistance to effect g before this Court in the above captioned matter. bed herein as necessary in the interests of justice. Judicial Authority of Taiwan effect Service of Amended Class Action Complaint; Pretrial Ordering Order; Standing Order Re: Cases Designated

REQUEST FOR INTERNATIONAL JUDICIAL ASSISTANCE (LETTER ROGATORY)

Registration Information Handout; and Certified Translations of these documents on the belownamed corporation:

Chi Mei Optoelectronics Corporation

No. 3, Sec. 1, Huanshi Rd., Southern Taiwan Science Park, Sinshih Township Tainan County, 7417 Taiwan

The Appropriate Judicial Authority of Taiwan is requested to serve the documents listed above by personal service into the hands of a director, managing agent or other person authorized to accept service at the above listed address or in any manner prescribed for the service of such documents consistent with the law of Taiwan.

II. FACTS OF THE CASE

The Complaint in this action was been filed under Section 16 of the Clayton Act, (15 U.S.C. § 26), to obtain injunctive relief for violations of Section 1 of the Sherman Act, (15 U.S.C. § 1), and to recover damages and/or restitution under various state antitrust and consumer protection laws, including to recover the costs of suit, and reasonable attorneys' fees. This Court has jurisdiction over the federal claims under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1337. The Court has jurisdiction over the state law claims under 28 U.S.C. § 1367.

There are two general categories of cases in these related actions: (1) those brought under federal law on behalf of direct purchasers; and (2) those brought generally under state law on behalf of indirect purchasers, for which there are over seventy complaints covering twenty-three different states. All plaintiffs allege that they purchased Thin Film Transistor Liquid Crystal Display products ("TFT-LCD Products") either directly or indirectly from defendants or from their alleged co-conspirators. Plaintiffs claim damages caused by the defendants' conspiracy to fix prices on TFT-LCD Products sold in the United States and elsewhere. The plaintiffs allege the conspiracy began in November 1, 1998 and continued through at least December 31, 2006 (the "Class Period").

In or about December of 2006, the Antitrust Division of the United States Department of Justice ("DOJ") sent out subpoenas to various companies in connection with an investigation of

1 cartel activity in the TFT-LCD industry. Several of these companies being investigated have 2 already pled guilty to price-fixing in the DRAM industry and have paid substantial fines to the 3 DOJ for those unlawful activities. 4 III. **RECIPROCITY** 5 The United States District Court for the Northern District of California would be willing to provide similar assistance to the Appropriate Judicial Authority of Taiwan. 6 7 IV. REIMBURSEMENT FOR COSTS 8 Lead and Liaison Counsel for the Indirect-Purchaser Plaintiffs have represented that they 9 are willing to reimburse the appropriate Judicial Authority of Taiwan for costs incurred in executing this Letter Rogatory in an amount not to exceed One Thousand Five Hundred dollars 10 (\$1500.00). If the costs for executing this Letter Rogatory will exceed US \$1500, please contact 11 12 Lead and Liaison Counsel for the Indirect-Purchaser Plaintiffs before exceeding this amount. 13 Their contact information is as follows: 14 Francis O. Scarpulla Zelle Hofmann Voebel Mason & Gette LLP 15 44 Montgomery Street, Suite 3400, San Francisco, CA 94104. Phone: (415) 693-0700; Fax: (415) 693-0770. 16 17 Jack W. Lee Minami Tamaki LLP 18 360 Post Street, 8th Fl. San Francisco, CA 94108 19 Phone: (415) 788-9000; Fax: (415) 398-3887 20 In acknowledgment of the additional time which is needed to prepare and file a responsive 21 pleading to the attached documents, the time period is extended to 45 days after service. 22 The Court extends to the Appropriate Judicial Authorities of Taiwan assurances of its 23 highest consideration. 24 10/23/07 25 DATED: By: 26 Hon. Susan Illston United States District Judge 27 28 REQUEST FOR INTERNATIONAL JUDICIAL ASSISTANCE (LETTER ROGATORY)

450 Golden Gate Ave, San Francisco, CA 94102 (415) 522-2028 (Tracy Sutton, Calendar Clerk) (415) 22-2068 (Yumiko Saito, Docket Clerk) #3170435v1 REQUEST FOR INTERNATIONAL JUDICIAL ASSISTANCE (LETTER ROGATORY)

Cascals/e07:0c7-0nt/8278217-S Doctoon.ente/682/51 Filled 100//27//20707Page 55 5